UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,694	10/02/2003	Nader Najafi	IB-8	9770
	7590 06/22/200' HARTMAN, P.C.	EXAMINER		
552 EAST 700	NORTH	MALLARI, PATRICIA C		
VALPARAISC), IN 46383		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	_
	_
	Λ.
_	√.
- /2	•

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/677,694	NAJAFI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Patricia C. Mallari	3735

Advisory Action	10/677,694	NAJAFI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	,		
	Patricia C. Mallari	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	L correspondence add	lress		
• •		-			
E REPLY FILED 06 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriately set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
P. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compatible filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermal a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		oducina or cimplifuina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues to		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
I The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a b	lation of Annual will no	at he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
	4	lea -			
	SUP	CHARLES A. MARMOR ERVISORY PATENT EXAI CHNOLOGY CENTER 3:	MINER		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The significant amount of changes to claim 1 and the amendments to claims 2, 44, and 48 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.